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Abstract: Quasi-ideals in a semiring are the generalization of one-sided right ideals and left ideals. Bi-ideals are generalized form of the quasi-ideals. This paper is concerned with these two types of ideals in the semirings from pure algebraic point of view. We shall characterize three important classes of semirings namely regular semirings, intra-regular semirings and weakly regular semirings by the characteristics of their quasi and bi-ideals along with their right and left-ideals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Regular rings were introduced by Von Neumann in 1936, in order to clarify certain aspects of Operator Algebras. Since then regular rings have been very extensively studied for their own sake and for the sake of their links with the Operator Algebras. Semirings as generalized rings having no negative elements were initially defined by Vandiver in 1934 [1]. They have wide range applications in theoretical computer science. The algorithms for dynamic programming uses the theory of semirings.


Lajos and Szasz [6] introduced the concept of bi-ideals for the associative rings. Quasi-ideals are the one-sided ideals and bi-ideals are their generalization. In this way, the study of the quasi-ideals and bi-ideals become as important as other ideals.

We present some basic concepts used in the context of semiring theory from the literature for our later pursuit in Section 2. Section 3 deals with the characterization of regular semirings by the properties of their quasi and bi-ideals. The intra-regular and weakly regular semirings are characterized in Sections 4 and 5 respectively. For undefined terms, we refer to [7] and [8].

2. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS

Definition 2.1. A semiring is a nonempty set $A$ possessing two binary operations $+$ (Addition) and $\ast$ (Multiplication) such that $(A,+)$ is a commutative semigroup and $(A,\ast)$ is generally a non-commutative semigroup; connecting the two algebraic structures are the distributive laws; $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(a + b)c = ac + bc, \forall a,b,c \in A$.

Definition 2.2. A subsemiring of a semiring $(A,+)$ is its nonempty subset $S$ provided it is itself a semiring under the operation of $A$.

Definition 2.3. A subsemiring of a semiring $(A,+)$ is its nonempty subset $I$ provided it is itself a semiring under the operation of $A$.

Definition 2.4. Let $(A,+)$ be a semiring. A
quasi-ideal \( Q \) of \( A \) is a subsemigroup \((Q, +)\) of \( A \) such that \( AQ \cap QA \subseteq Q \) [4].

Each quasi-ideal of a semiring \( A \) is its subsemiring. Every one-sided ideal of \( A \) is its quasi-ideal. Since intersection of any family of quasi-ideals of \( A \) is its quasi-ideal [5], so intersection of a right ideal \( R \) and a left-ideal \( L \) of \( A \) is a quasi-ideal of \( A \). Both the sum and the product of two or more quasi-ideals of \( A \) need not be its quasi-ideal [5].

**Definition 2.5.** Let \((A, +, \cdot)\) be a semiring, \( A \) bi-ideal \( B \) is a subsemiring of \( A \) if \( BAB \subseteq B \).

Every quasi-ideal, product of two quasi-ideals e.g., the product \( RL \) of a semiring \( A \) is its bi-ideal. However, every bi-ideal is not its quasi-ideal [5]. The product \( TB \) and \( BT \) of an arbitrary subset \( T \) and bi-ideal \( B \) of a semiring \( A \) is its bi-ideals. Since the product of two bi-ideals of a semiring is a bi-ideal, so is the intersection of their any finite or infinite family.

### 3. CHARACTERIZING REGULAR SEMIRINGS

**Definition 3.1.** An element \( a \) of a semiring \( A \) is called regular if \( axa = a \) for some \( x \in A \). Semiring \( A \) is called regular if each element of \( A \) is regular [9]. If \( a \) is a regular element, then \( ax \) and \( xa \) are idempotent as \( axa = (axa)x = ax \) and \( xa . xa = x(axa) = xa \).

We begin to characterize the regular semirings by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** The results given below are equivalents [5]:

1. \( A \) is regular,
2. \( RL = R \cap L \) for every right-ideal \( R \) and left-ideal \( L \) of \( A \),
3. (a) \( R^2 = R \), (b) \( L^2 = L \), and (c) \( RL \) is a quasi-ideal of \( A \),
4. The set of quasi-ideals of \( A \) is a regular(multiplicative) semigroup,
5. Each quasi-ideal \( Q \) is expressed as \( QAQ = Q \).

**Proof.** \( (1) \Rightarrow (2) \): If \( R \) and \( L \) are respectively the right and the left ideals of \( A \), then clearly \( RL \subseteq R \cap L \). For the converse, let \( x \in R \cap L \), then \( xyx = (xy)x \in RL \) because \( R \) is right ideal. Thus \( R \cap L = RL \).

\( (2) \Rightarrow (3) \): Let \( RL = R \cap L \). Since \( R \cap L \) is a quasi-ideal [5], \( RL \) is a quasi-ideal of \( A \). Now if \( A \) is a semiring, then the ideal generated by the right ideal \( R \) is \( R + AR \), so by \( (2) \), we have \( R = R \cap (R + AR) = R(R + AR) = R^2 + (RA)R \subseteq R^2 + R^2 \subseteq R^2 \); i.e., \( R \subseteq R^2 \), i.e., \( R^2 = R \). Similarly, we can prove that \( L^2 = L \).

\( (3) \Rightarrow (4) \): Suppose \( (3) \) holds and let \( K \) be the set of quasi-ideals of \( A \), then \( Q + AQ \) is its left-ideal generated by \( Q \). So by \( (3) \), we get \( Q \subseteq Q + AQ = (Q + AQ)^2 = (Q + AQ)(Q + AQ) = Q^2 + QAQ + AQ + AQA \subseteq AQ + A + AQ \). Similarly, we can show that \( Q \subseteq Q.A \). Thus \( Q \subseteq QA \cap QA \subseteq Q \) i.e.,

\[ AQ \cap QA = Q \quad \text{... (3.1)} \]

Now using \( 3(c) \) and \( (3.1) \), we get

\[ RL = ARL \cap ARL \quad \text{... (3.2)} \]

for right-ideal \( R \) and left-ideal \( L \) of \( A \). Now we shall prove that \( Q_1 Q_2 \) of two quasi-ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) is a quasi-ideal of \( A \). By property \( 3(a) \) and \( 3(b) \), we have \( AQ_1 Q_2 = (AQ_1 Q_2)(AQ_1 Q_2) = (AQ_1 Q_2)(A.A Q_1 Q_2) \), and \( Q_1 Q_2 A = (Q_1 Q_2 A)(Q_1 Q_2 A) = (Q_1 Q_2 A)(Q_1 Q_2 A) \). Thus using Equation \( (3.2) \), we get

\[ Q_1 Q_2 A \cap AQ_1 Q_2 = (Q_1 Q_2 A)(AQ_1 Q_2) = (Q_1 Q_2 A)(AQ_1 Q_2) \]

\[ = (Q_1 Q_2 A)(AQ_1 Q_2) \subseteq Q_1 (Q_1 Q_2 A) \subseteq Q_1 Q_2 \]

i.e., \( (Q_1 Q_2 A) \cap A(Q_1 Q_2) \subseteq Q_1 Q_2 \). So, \( Q_1 Q_2 \) is a quasi-ideal of \( A \). Since the multiplication of quasi-ideals of the semiring \( A \) is associative in \( K \), so \( K \) is a semigroup. Finally, we shall show that \( K \) is a regular semigroup. If \( Q \) is an arbitrary quasi-ideal of \( A \), then the properties \( 3(a) \), \( 3(b) \) and the Relations \( (3.1) \) and \( (3.2) \) imply that \( Q = Q.A \cap AQ = (QA . AQ)(A Q . AQ) = QA . AQ = QAQ \subseteq Q \). So \( Q = QAQ \). Thus \( K \) is a regular semigroup.
Now The following theorem signifies when a bi-ideal of a semiring is a quasi-ideal.
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(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (3): Let \(I\) be any ideal of \(A\) and \(Q\) any quasi-ideal of \(A\). As every quasi-ideal is bi-ideal, therefore by (2), \(I \cap Q = QIQ\).

(3) \(\Rightarrow\) (1): Since \(A\) is an ideal of itself, therefore by (3), \(A \cap Q = QAQ\), i.e., \(Q = QAQ\). Hence by Theorem 3.1, \(A\) is a regular semiring.

**Theorem 3.6.** The following conditions are equivalent for a semiring \(A\) for all its right-ideal \(R\), left-ideal \(L\), quasi-ideal \(Q\) and bi-ideal \(B\):

1. \(A\) is regular,
2. \(R \cap B \subseteq RB\),
3. \(R \cap Q \subseteq RQ\),
4. \(L \cap B \subseteq BL\),
5. \(L \cap Q \subseteq QL\),
6. \(R \cap B \cap L \subseteq RBL\),
7. \(R \cap Q \cap L \subseteq RQL\).

**Proof.** (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2): Let \(x \in R \cap B\), so \(x \in R \land x \in B\). Since \(A\) is regular, there is \(y \in A\) such that \(x = xyx\). Now \(x = (xy)x \in RB\). Thus \(R \cap B \subseteq RB\).

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (3): Since every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideals, therefore by (2), \(R \cap Q \subseteq RQ\).

(3) \(\Rightarrow\) (1): Since every one-sided ideal is a quasi-ideal, therefore by (3), \(R \cap L \subseteq RL\). But \(RL \subseteq R \cap L\). Therefore, \(R \cap L = RL\). Hence by Theorem 3.2, \(A\) is a regular semiring. Similarly, we can show that (1) if and only if (4) if and only if (5) if and only if (1).

(1) \(\Rightarrow\) (6): \(R \cap B \cap L \subseteq (R \cap B) \cap L \subseteq (RB) \cap L\) by (2). Now RB is a bi-ideal, so by (4), \(L \cap (RB) \subseteq (RB)L\). Thus \(R \cap B \cap L \subseteq RBL\).

(1) \(\Rightarrow\) (7): Since every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal, therefore by (6), \(R \cap Q \cap L \subseteq RQL\) for right-ideal \(R\), left-ideal \(L\) and quasi-ideal \(Q\) of \(A\).

(7) \(\Rightarrow\) (1): Then by (7), \(R \cap A \cap L \subseteq (RA)L \subseteq RL\). Also \(R \cap L = R \cap A \cap L\). Thus \(R \cap L \subseteq RL\). But \(RL \subseteq R \cap L\) always. Hence \(R \cap L = RL\). Thus by Theorem 3.1, \(A\) is a semiring.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(A\) be a semiring with multiplicative identity 1, then the following are equivalent:

1. \(R \cap L \subseteq LR\) for any right-ideal \(R\) and left-ideal \(L\) of \(A\),
2. Every \(a \in A\) can be written as \(a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a^2 y_i\), where \(x_i, y_i \in A\).

**Proof.** (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2): let \(a \in A\). Let \(R = aA\) and \(L = Aa\) be the right and the left-ideal generated by \(a\) respectively. Then by \(R \cap L \subseteq LR\), \(a \in R \cap L\) \(a \in LR\). So \(a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a^2 y_i\), where \(x_i, y_i \in A\).

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (1): Let \(a \in R \cap L\). Then \(a \in R\) and \(a \in L\). By (2), \(a = \sum_{finite} x_i a^2 y_i = \sum_{finite} (x_i a)(a y_i) \in RL\). So \(R \cap L \subseteq LR\).

4. **Characterizing Intra-regular Semirings**

Intra-regular semiring is also an important class of semirings which can be studied by the properties of their quasi and bi-ideals.

**Definition 4.1.** A semiring \(A\) with multiplicative identity 1 is called intra-regular if every \(a \in A\) can be written as \(a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a^2 y_i\), where \(x_i, y_i \in A\).

Thus a semiring \(A\) with multiplicative identity 1 is called intra-regular if it satisfies one of the conditions of Proposition (3.1).

The next theorem states that the bi-ideals and quasi-ideal are idempotent for intra-regular and regular semiring.

**Theorem 4.1.** For a semiring with 1, the following are equivalent:

1. \(A\) is both regular and intra-regular,
2. \(B^2 = B\) for every bi-ideal \(B\) of \(A\),
3. \(Q^2 = Q\) for every quasi-ideal \(Q\) of \(A\).

**Proof:** (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2): Let \(B\) be any bi-ideal of \(A\), then \(B^2 \subseteq BAB\), since \(A\) contains multiplicative identity 1. But \(BAB \subseteq B\). Thus \(B^2 \subseteq B\). Let \(b \in B\) then \(b = bxb\) for some \(x \in A\), since \(A\) is regular. Also since \(A\) is intra-regular, \(b = \sum_{finite} x_i b^2 y_i\), for some \(x_i, y_i \in A\). Thus
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\[ b = bxb = bx(xb) = bx(\sum_{\text{finite}} x_i b^2 y_i) \]

\[ = b(x(xb)) \in B \] because \( b \in B \).

Therefore \( b(x(xb)) \in B \) and \( b(y_i xb) \in BAB \subseteq B \) for all \( y_i \) and \( x \) in \( B \).

Thus \( B \) is left-regular.

Consequently \( B \) is Bi-Ideal.

**Theorem 4.2.** The following conditions are equivalent for a semiring \( A \) with

\[ \text{identity: } \]

1. \( A \) is both regular and intra-regular,
2. \( B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq (B_1 B_2) \cap (B_2 B_1) \) for any Bi-Ideal \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) of \( A \),
3. \( B \cap Q \subseteq (BQ) \cap (QB) \) for any Bi-Ideal \( B \) and quasi-Ideal \( Q \) of \( A \),
4. \( Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq (Q_1 Q_2) \cap (Q_2 Q_1) \) for any Quasi-Ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( A \),
5. \( B \cap R \subseteq (BR) \cap (RB) \) for any Bi-Ideal \( B \) and Right-Ideal \( R \) of \( A \),
6. \( Q \cap R \subseteq (QR) \cap (RQ) \) for any Quasi-Ideal \( Q \) and Right-Ideal \( R \) of \( A \),
7. \( B \cap L \subseteq (BL) \cap (LB) \) for any Bi-Ideal \( B \) and Left-Ideal \( L \) of \( A \),
8. \( Q \cap L \subseteq (BQ) \cap (QB) \) for any Quasi-Ideal \( Q \) and Left-Ideal \( L \) of \( A \),
9. \( R \cap L \subseteq (LR) \cap (RL) \) for any Right-Ideal \( R \) and Left-Ideal \( L \) of \( A \),

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2): Since \( B_1 \cap B_2 \) is a Bi-Ideal of \( A \), so by Theorem 4.2, \( B_1 \cap B_2 = (B_1 \cap B_2)(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq B_1 B_2 \). Also \( B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_2 B_1 \). Thus \( B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq (B_1 B_2) \cap (B_2 B_1) \).

(1) \( \Rightarrow \) (3): As every Quasi-Ideal is Bi-Ideal, therefore by (2), \( B \cap Q \subseteq (BQ) \cap (QB) \).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (4): As every Quasi-Ideal is Bi-Ideal, therefore by (3), \( Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq (Q_1 Q_2) \cap (Q_2 Q_1) \).

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (6): As every Right-Ideal is Quasi-Ideal, therefore by (4), \( Q \cap R \subseteq (QR) \cap (RQ) \).

(6) \( \Rightarrow \) (9): As every Left-Ideal is Quasi-Ideal, therefore by (6), \( L \cap R \subseteq (LR) \cap (RL) \).

(9) \( \Rightarrow \) (1): As \( L \cap R \subseteq (LR) \cap (RL) \), so \( L \cap R \subseteq (LR) \cap (RL) \).

Similarly we can show that (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) \( \Rightarrow \) (9) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) and (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (7) \( \Rightarrow \) (8) \( \Rightarrow \) (9) \( \Rightarrow \) (1).

**Theorem 4.3.** The following conditions are equivalent for a semiring \( A \) with 1 for its any Right-Ideal \( R \), Left-Ideal \( L \), Bi-Ideal \( B \) and Quasi-Ideal \( Q \):

1. \( A \) is both regular and intra-regular,
2. \( B \cap L \subseteq BLB \),
3. \( B \cap R \subseteq BRB \),
4. \( Q \cap L \subseteq QLQ \),
5. \( Q \cap R \subseteq QRQ \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2): Take \( a \in B \cap L \), then \( a \in B \) & \( a \in L \). Since \( A \) is regular and intra-regular, therefore \( a = axa \) and \( a = \sum_{\text{finite}} x_i a^2 y_i \), where \( x, x_i, y_i \in A \). Now \( a = axa = axaxa = ax(\sum_{\text{finite}} x_i a^2 y_i) = axa = a(\sum_{\text{finite}} x_i a^2 y_i) = BLB \), because \( a \in BAB \subseteq B \).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (4): Since a Quasi-Ideal is a Bi-Ideal, therefore by (2), \( Q \cap L \subseteq QLQ \).

(4) \( \Rightarrow \) (1): \( R \) being Right-Ideal is a Quasi-Ideal, so by (4), \( R \cap L \subseteq RLR \subseteq LR \). Thus by Proposition (3.1), \( A \) is intra-regular. Now let \( I \) be any Ideal of \( A \), then \( I \) is a left-Ideal so by (4), \( Q \cap I \subseteq QIQ \). On the other hand, \( QIQ \subseteq QAQ \subseteq Q \) and \( QAQ \subseteq Q \cap I \). Thus \( Q \cap I \subseteq QIQ \). So by Theorem (3.5), \( A \) is regular. Similarly, we can show that (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) \( \Rightarrow \) (1).
5. CHARACTERIZING WEAKLY-REGULAR SEMIRINGS

Analogous to von Neumann regular rings, a ring $R$ is weakly-regular if $x \in (xR)^2$ for each $x \in R$. These rings were introduced by Brown and McCoy [10], later investigated by Rammamurthy [11]. Here we characterize weakly-regular semirings using their quasi-ideals and bi-ideals.

**Definition 5.1.** A semiring $A$ is called a right weakly-regular semiring if for each $x \in A$, $x \in (xA)^2$. Thus, if $A$ is commutative then $A$ is weakly-regular if and only if $A$ is regular. In general, however, regular semirings form a proper subclass of weakly-regular semirings.

We start to give their characterization by following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** The following are equivalent for a semiring $A$ with $I$:

1. $A$ is weakly-regular,
2. $R^2 = R$ for all right-ideal $R$ of $A$,
3. For every ideal $I$ of $A$, $R \cap I = RI$.

**Proof:** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Clearly $R^2 \subseteq R$. For the converse, let $x \in R$; so $x \in (xR)^2$. Hence $x \in R^2$, so $R = R^2$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): let $x \in I$. Since $x \in (xA) = (xA)^2$, it follows that $x = xy$, for some $y \in I$. For a right-ideal $R$ of $A$, clearly $RI \subseteq R \cap I$. Let $x \in R \cap I$. Then there exists $y \in I$ such that $x = xy$. Thus $x \in RI$ i.e., $R \cap I \subseteq RI$, so $R \cap I = RI$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $x \in A$, Then $x \in (xA) \cap (AxA) = (xA)(AxA) \subseteq (xA)(AxA)$ i.e., $x \in (xA)^2$. Hence $A$ is right weakly-regular.

**Theorem 5.2.** For a semiring $A$ with identity $1$, the following conditions are equivalent for all bi-ideal $B$, quasi-idea $Q$, ideal $I$ and right-ideal $R$ of $A$,

1. $A$ is right weakly-regular,
2. $B \cap I \cap R \subseteq BI R$,
3. $Q \cap I \cap R \subseteq QI R$.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $x \in I \cap R \Rightarrow x \in B, x \in I$ and $x \in R$. Since $x \in A$ and $A$ is right weakly-regular, therefore $x \in (xA)^2$, i.e., $x = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i}$ for some $s_i \in A$. Now, $x = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i} = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}(\sum_{finite} x_{s_i}x_{r_i}) r_i = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i}x_{r_i} = a_i, a, c_i \in A$. Thus $x = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i}x_{r_i}c_i \in BI R$. Hence $B \cap I \cap R \subseteq BI R$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal, therefore by (2), $Q \cap I \cap R \subseteq QI R$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Since a quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal, therefore by (2), $Q \cap I \cap R \subseteq QI R$.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $x \in B \cap I$, then $x \in B$ and $x \in I$. Since $x \in A$ and $A$ is right weakly-regular, therefore $x \in (xA)^2$, i.e., $x = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i}x_{r_i} = a_i, s_i \in A$. Now $x = \sum_{finite} x_{r_i}x_{s_i}x_{r_i}c_i \in BI R$. Thus $B \cap I \subseteq BI R$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since a one-sided ideal is a quasi-ideal, therefore by (3), $R \cap I \subseteq RI$. But $RI \subseteq R \cap I$. Therefore $R \cap I = RI$. Hence by Theorem (5.1), $A$ is right weakly-regular.
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